

Spectral shape of magnetic fluctuations in the solar wind at ion scales

S. Lion, O. Alexandrova & A. Zaslavsky LESIA, Observatoire de Paris - (sonny.lion@obspm.fr)





Laboratoire d'Études Spatiales et d'Instrumentation en Astrophysique

Context : turbulence at ion scales



- FGM+STAFF-SC/CLUSTER data : spectra in both inertial and kinetic range
- The spectrum suddenly changes at ion scales
- Two power laws continually observed :
 - -5/3 [0.01, 0.2] Hz
 - -2.8 [3, 30] Hz
- The shape of the spectrum is variable from one example to another in the ion transition:
 - => No universal behavior?

Statistical study with STEREO spacecraft

• 9h Spectra – from 2007 to 2013



- Not always well defined transition
 - Slope in transition and inertial range too close
 - Smooth transition
 - => Difficult to identify a meaningful break frequency



 Broad slope distribution at high frequency => High variability of the spectrum (even on 9h)



A typical example of ion transition?



- Central interval: same as in Leamon et al. 1998
- $V \simeq 700 \ km/s$
- $T_{\perp} > T_{\parallel}$

Reference frame



• BV reference frame :

Separation of perpendicular and parallel to B_0 fluctuations

$$P_{\parallel} = (\vec{e}_z, \vec{e}_x)$$

 $P_{\perp} = (\vec{e}_x, \vec{e}_y)$

Relative phasing

• Local phase is obtain from complex wavelet coefficients :

 $\phi_x(f,t) = \arg(W_x(f,t)) \ [2\pi]$

 Relative phasing between Bx and By is given by :

$$\Delta \phi_{xy}(f,t) = \phi_x(f,t) - \phi_y(f,t)$$

$$\Delta \phi_{xy}(f,t) = \begin{cases} \pi/2 & [2\pi] \to \text{right handed} \\ 0 & [\pi] \to \text{linear} \\ -\pi/2 & [2\pi] \to \text{left handed} \end{cases}$$

[Grinsted et al. 2004]

Time evolution of magnetic fluctuations

- No direct correspondence between ion scales and the break frequency
- Coherent structures everywhere in this interval
- Polarized signal at the beginning and the end of the interval





Phase coherence

- Definition:
 - Coherence is a measure of the variability of time differences between two time series.
 - Two signals are considered coherent if they maintain a fixed phase relationship.
- a Phase coherence





b Amplitude correlation





Markus Siegel, Tobias H. Donner & Andreas K. Engel

Nature Reviews Neuroscience 13, 121-134 (February 2012)

Nature Reviews | Neuroscience

Phase coupling in the perpendicular to B₀ plane



[Lion et al, 2015, to be submitted]

\dots And in the parallel to B₀ plane



Surrogate data

Cluster-1/STAFF-SC measurements, 2002-02-19



From the observed signal we construct a signal with random phases but with the same spectrum.

[Rossi, Tesi di Lauria, 2011; Hada et al. 2003; Koga & Hada, 2003; Sahraoui, 2008]

Coherent times

• 100 realization of surrogate data are used in order to compute a threshold (mean and standard deviation)



 Coherent times (red) are times where
coherence is above
the threshold (blue)

Perpendicular Plane



- Mean coherence is higher for frequencies just above fb
- Filtering mainly affects the spectrum around fb

Parallel Plane



 The mean coherence forms a plateau and drops sharply just after fb

Spectral properties of Alfvén vortices

[Petviashvilli & Pokhotelov, 1992]



- Spectral knee at k=a ; power law spectra above it
- Monopole => dB $\sim k^{-4}$ (due to discontinuity of the current)
- Dipole => dB $\sim k^{-6}$ (due to discont. of the current derivative)

[Alexandrova, 2008]

Spectrum decomposition



Conclusion





- The total observed spectrum depends on the contribution (percentage) of each event
- Visible power-law scaling at high frequencies : partly due to spectrum of coherent structures



Outlook

- Characterization of the effects of instrumental filters on the signal phase and coherence for frequencies near the Nyquist frequency for FGM
- Use the coherence filtering on FGM + STAFF-SC/CLUSTER data